Supplemental information 1

Table 1. MINORS score and Risk of bias assessment

	Clearly stated aim	Inclusion of consecutive patients	Prospective data collection	Endpoints appropriate to study aim	Unbiased assessment of study endpoint	Follow-up period appropriate to study aim	<5% lost of follow-up	Prospective calculation of study size	Adequate control group	Contemporary groups	Baseline equivalence of	Adequate statistical analyses	TOTAL	Risk of bias (low/high)
Prospective														
Sabat 2012	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	20	Low
Miller 2014	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	23	Low
Pascual 2016	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	20	Low
Retrospective														
Shalom 2015	2	0	1	2	2	1	2	0	2	2	2	2	18	High
Gold 2014	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	18	High