



Supplementary material

Quality Markers in Cardiology. Main Markers to Measure Quality of Results (Outcomes) and Quality Measures Related to Better Results in Clinical Practice (Performance Metrics). INCARDIO (Indicadores de Calidad en Unidades Asistenciales del Área del Corazón): A SEC/SECTCV Consensus Position Paper

INCARDIO Task Force. (Indicadores de Calidad en Unidades Asistenciales del Área del Corazón)
Joint task force between the Spanish Society of Cardiology (SEC) and the Spanish Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery (SECTCV)

José López-Sendón,^a José Ramón González-Juanatey,^{b,c} Fausto Pinto,^{d,e} José Cuenca Castillo,^{f,g}
Lina Badimón,^h Regina Dalmau,ⁱ Esteban González Torrecilla,^j José Ramón López Mínguez,^k
Alicia M. Maceira,^l Domingo Pascual-Figal,^m José Luis Pomar Moya-Prats,ⁿ Alessandro Sionis,^o
and José Luis Zamorano^p

^a Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPaz, Madrid, Spain

^b President, Spanish Society of Cardiology

^c Servicio de Cardiología. Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

^d President, European Society of Cardiology

^e Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Santa María, Lisbon, Portugal

^f President, Spanish Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery

^g Servicio de Cirugía Cardiaca, Complexo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

^h Centro de Investigación Cardipovascular (CSIC-ICCC), Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

ⁱ Unidad de Rehabilitación Cardiaca, Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPaz, Madrid, Spain

^j Unidad de Electrofisiología y Arritmias, Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

^k Unidad de Cardiología intervencionista, Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Infanta Cristina, Badajoz, Spain

^l Unidad de Imagen Cardiaca, Servicio de Cardiología, ERESA Medical Center, Valencia, Spain

^m Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

ⁿ Cardiac Surgery Department, The Thorax Institute, Hospital Clinic and University of Barcelona, Spain

^o Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos Cardiológicos, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

^p Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table 1. Population Selection and Adjustments Recommended to Compare Outcomes Between Different Hospitals

Metric	Relevance	Difficulty	Audit able	Comments
MORTALITY , number of days at <u>any</u> hospital during 30 days after index hospitalization				
Classify hospitals in comparable clusters according to volume, technology and organization	1	1	1	Comparable clusters should be based on patient volume, technology, organization and transfer of patients
Recommended time measurements: 1 month after hospital admission	1	2	2	Corrects for early hospital discharge. Simplifies measurements. Perceived by the task force as better than outcomes at different times (hospital discharge, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year)
Overall mortality	1	1	1	Quality metric dependent on too many different clusters of confounders (patient risk, transfers bias from other hospitals, proportion of very high risk and very low risk patients, other)
Cardiovascular mortality	1	2	2	Same comment as overall mortality. CV mortality very difficult to ascertain if not adjudicated
Exclusion of patients transferred from other hospitals, except when all patients are transferred from hospitals I and II to the same type III hospital	1	2	2	Corrects for higher mortality risk in patients only admitted to some hospitals. Some hospitals, because of attitude, local protocols or location may be more vulnerable than others.
Exclusion of patients with rare diseases and GRDs with very low intensity cases	1	2	2	These cases are considered as confounders. e.g., pre hospital cardiac arrest admitted unconscious, endocarditis.
Exclude patients with extreme high risk	1	2	2	This includes unconscious at hospital arrival, cardiogenic shock at admission, terminal illness, etc.
Exclusion of patients with confusing diagnosis or no cardiac diagnosis as main reason for admission	1	2	2	These cases are considered as confounders; e.g., trauma, non-cardiac surgery
Cluster by GRDs Select, well-defined, high-risk specific populations with prognosis known to be highly dependent on overall cardiologic treatment quality.	1	2	2	GRDs group relatively homogeneous diagnosis and procedures. Usually split into too many groups, sometimes arbitrarily. Only selected, well defined GRDs, representing challenging, complex procedures should be used. These include STEMI, heart failure, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, TAVI, heart failure, Catheter ablation, pacemaker / CDI, CRT device implantation. With regard to surgery examples include, 1 st time, staged, isolated CABG, aortic and mitral valve replacement, combined CABG and valvular surgery. Emergency surgery should be excluded. 1 st time surgery preferred Use of ICD9 codes should be a standard, but need clustering of related codes. <i>For some GRDs, ICD9 does not properly reflect some contemporary diagnosis such as ST elevation myocardial infarction.</i>
Adjustment for severity of illness	1	2	2	Severity of illness should be calculated using appropriate (validated) scales/scores, the same as used in clinical practice. Other options for correction of severity of illness could be considered if evidence based or lack of appropriate risk scores (e.g., heart failure)
Adjustment for risk of death	1	2	2	Risk of death should be calculated using the same appropriate scales/scores as used in clinical practice. Other options for correction could be considered if evidence based or lack of appropriate risk scores

Describe outcomes in medical and surgical groups	1	1	1	Surgical and medical identify two different populations with different outcomes
For specified, prevalent, high-risk populations: Attribute GRDs to several specialties if different specialists may treat them	1	1	1	Helps to determine different outcomes according to staff expertise and unit organization. Differences will be minimized if teamwork and common protocols are used. These typically included heart failure patients. Describe outcomes for patients 1 st admitted to cardiology units or to other hospital departments

Table 2. Recommended ICD-9-MC Codes¹¹³

Clinical setting Related Diagnosis Groups (GRDs)	ICD-9-MC codes	Comments
STEMI	410.71	Does not guarantee the inclusion of selective STEMI cases Correct for GRACE risk score whenever possible Excluding patients unconscious at hospital arrival is highly recommended in dedicated databases (e.g., STEMI code programs and similar)
Non-STEMI	410.72	Correct for GRACE risk score
Out of hospital cardiac arrest	V12.53	ICD9 does not allow the identification of patients arriving to hospital unconscious after an episode of cardiac arrest. Mortality in this setting is much higher and reference hospitals with a hypothermia program will be penalized if these patients are not excluded
Staged PCI	00.66 (PCI) +00.40/00.41/00.42/00.45/ 00.46/00.47/36.06/36.07 36.06 Bare stent 36.07 DE stent 00.41 One vessel 00.42 Two vessel 00.47 Three vessel	Risk correction using Syntax score desirable but impossible except in dedicated databases/registries Corrections for GRACE risk score desirable in dedicated databases Mortality is very low; crude data can be considered as a good measure
Transfemoral TAVI	35.05	Correct for EuroSCORE 2
ABLATION	37.33 / 37.34	Suggestion: no correction, Very low mortality
Pacemaker	37.80	Suggestion: no correction
ICD	37.94	Suggestion: no correction
RCT	00.50	Suggestion: no correction
Heart Failure	428.0 Congestive heart failure, unspecified 428.1 left heart failure 428.20 HF Systolic 428.21 Systolic acute 428.22 Systolic chronic 428.23 Acute on chronic systolic 428.3 HF diastolic 428.31 Acute diastolic 428.32 Chronic diastolic 428.33 acute on chronic diastolic 428.4 HF systolic and diastolic 428.41 Systolic and diastolic acute 428.42 Systolic and diastolic chronic 428.43 Acute on chronic sys. & diast 428.9 HF unspecified	Perceived as one of the main parameters for quality results metrics. Complex to codify. Detailed diagnoses/codes probably will not be reliable in the CMBD or other databases No risk score validated and universally used. One solution could be the selection of a well-defined subgroups e.g., Systolic heart failure, first episode (428:21)

	429.4 HF post surgery 785.51.cardiogenic shock 402.01, 402.11, 402.91 Hypertension with HF	
1st surgery Aortic valve	395 Rheumatic 424.1 No Rheumatic 35.21 biological prosthesis 35.22 mechanical prosthesis	No specific code for 1st time surgery. CMBD database can identify previous surgery
1st surgery Mitral valve	394.1 Rheumatic 424 No rheumatic 35.23 Bbiological prosthesis or repair 35.24 Mechanical prosthesis	No specific code for 1st time surgery. CMBD database can identify previous surgery
1st surgery CABG	36.10 One vessel 36.12 Two vessel 36.13 Three vessel 36.14 Four vessel	No specific code for 1st time surgery. CMBD database can identify previous surgery
Stroke	434.9 y 434.91 Primary TIA or stroke 997.0 stroke complicating procedures	
Transfusions	99.03	

Table 3. Quality Measures Related With Better Results in Clinical Practice. Performance Measures. General, Hospital Related. Clinical Cardiology

Clinical cardiology	
Metric	Recommendations
Structure. Resources directly related to patient care	
Hospital volumes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N° cardiology beds • N° dedicated ICCU beds (recommended 4-5 beds / 100.000 inhabitants) • N° patients discharged from type II hospitals > 500; from type III > 1000
Desired technology	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dedicated cardiac unit: Recommended in type II and III hospitals covering a population > 300.000 • Dedicated ICCU. Recommended in type III hospitals • Echocardiographs. TTE, all hospitals. TEE and stress echo in type II and III hospitals; 3D echo in type III hospitals • Interventional cardiology labs. 1 in type II, at least 2 in type III hospitals • MS-CT, type II and III hospitals • PET-CT Scanner, type II and III hospitals • NMR, type II and III hospitals • Heart protected hospital. Defibrillators in all floors of all hospital buildings. • Telemetry ECG monitoring in non-intensive care cardiology wards • Holter monitoring systems. Recommended in all hospitals
Staffing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certified cardiologist responsible for cardiac unit in hospitals > 300.000 • Certified cardiologists, recommended in all hospitals • Cardiologist certified in echocardiography (at least 2 years training) (at least 1 recommended in type III hospitals, or hospitals performing over 1000 studies / year or performing stress echo or TEE) • Cardiologist certified in interventional cardiology (at least 2 years training) (at least 1 recommended in type II and III hospitals) • Cardiologist certified in electrophysiology and complex arrhythmias (at least 2 years training) (at least 1 recommended in type II and III hospitals) • Nurses with > 1-year cardiology experience. Recommended in type II and III hospitals • Other: secretaries, paramedics, social workers, etc.
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dedicated cardiac unit: Recommended in type II and III; or hospitals covering a population > 300.000 • Dedicated ICCU. Recommended in type III hospitals • Cardiac imaging unit. Recommended in type III hospitals • Cardiologist 24 h in hospital, recommended in type II and III hospitals • Cath Lab unit: recommended in type II and III hospitals • Electrophysiology Unit. Recommended in type III hospitals • Cardiology outpatient clinics. Recommended in all hospitals • Day hospital. Recommended in all hospitals

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Established and hospital approved protocols for derivation to other hospitals in case of need for other services: Transplant, cath lab, electrophysiology, adult congenital heart diseases, cardiac surgery, rehabilitation program. Recommended in all hospitals without the required technology.
Patient services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cardiologist on call / 24 hours Recommended in hospitals II and III hospitals Rehabilitation program. Recommended in all hospitals, in house or in a reference hospital Palliative Care / Hospice program Wound management services Pain management program Translators Social workers Home care
Accreditation Certification of qualification conferred by external organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ISO certified units Accreditation of Cath Lab (ESC) Accreditation of ECHO Lab (ESC) Accreditation of Electrophysiology Lab (ESC) Accredited continuous medical accreditation programs, for cardiologists, residents and nurses Other accreditations Honours, awards Reputation Impact factor
Process of delivery care for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and patient education	
Local protocols for diagnosis and treatment based on ESC /AHA. ACC guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local protocols based on guidelines recommendations for prevalent GRDs: IHD, AF, valvular, HF. Recommended in all hospitals Appropriate use of limited resource technologies
Multidisciplinary protocols	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> With, but no only: emergency department, internal medicine, anaesthesiology, general intensive care unit, nephrology, radiology, central lab, primary care physicians. Use of common, approved protocols in prevalent GRDs. Recommended in all hospitals. Avoid duplication of units in the same hospital (e.g., heart failure) STEMI: SUMA / SAMUR, cardiac unit, emergency department. <u>Regional STEMI protocol</u> Cardio toxicity. Recommended in type II and III hospitals Adult congenital heart diseases (selected hospitals) Endocarditis recommended in type II and III hospitals Nursing programs. Recommended in all hospitals Primary care programs. Recommended in all hospitals Quality control programs on yearly basis (e.g. door to balloon time in STEMI). Recommended in hospitals II and III hospitals Primary and secondary prevention program. Recommended in all hospitals Patient and population education program. Recommended in all hospitals Established and hospital approved protocols for derivation to other hospitals in case of need for other services: Transplant, cath lab, electrophysiology, cardiac surgery, rehabilitation program, adult congenital heart diseases, complex pulmonary hypertension. Recommended

	in all hospitals without the required technology
Waiting list for 1st medical outpatient visit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • < 40 days. Recommended in all hospitals. • < 1,7 / 1000 population covered by hospital
Safety. Quality control programs focussed on safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including, but not only: Infections, transfusions, medical errors, malpractice, patient complains • All hospitals should identify possible safety problems and organized local quality programs in a yearly basis
Patient education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical report at discharge, including diagnosis, process of care in hospital, treatment, recommendations life style, primary and secondary prevention and scheduled visit if necessary. Recommended for all patients in all hospitals • Educational deliverables e.g., booklets, charts for recording symptoms. Control of adherence to treatment and recommendations • Patient web page • Teaching sessions disease oriented for patients and relatives • Rehabilitation programs including education in primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention • Control of adherence to treatment and recommendations programs
Results. Outcomes in selected populations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As described in text and table # 5
Adherence to local protocols based on ESC / AHA-ACC guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended > 90% in all hospitals
Heart-team. Indications for elective interventional cardiology (coronary, structural and electrophysiology)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended > 90% in all hospitals