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Evaluation of the role of hysterosalpingography in prediction of endometriosis in infertile females
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The purpose in this study was to evaluate 2 signs in hysterosalpingography (higher position of one or both tubes and S or C shape course of one or both tubes) in the prediction of endometriosis.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: El-Sharby Maternity University Hospital, Alexandria University.

Patients: Eighty six infertile women who were assigned to do laparoscopy.

Intervention: Hysterosalpingography was done within 3 months period before laparoscopy. Evaluation of the position of both tubes and S or C shape course of the tube. Laparoscopy was done with documentation of all findings including the presence of endometriosis with biopsy from atypical lesions.

Measurements and main results: All the above signs show high specificity in the prediction of endometriosis although only 2 signs (higher level of left tube and bilateral tubal S or C shape) showed high sensitivity in prediction of endometriosis.

Conclusion: Careful examination of the HSG film and searching for the specific signs of endometriosis is a practical and simple method in prediction of endometriosis. These preliminary data suggest that HSG has a role in the prediction of endometriosis.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Reprodução Humana. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Avaliação do papel da histerossalpingografia no prognóstico de endometrioses em mulheres inférteis

RESUMO

Objetivos: Avaliar dois sinais na histerossalpingografia (posição mais alta de uma ou ambas as trompas e forma de S ou C no curso de uma ou ambas as trompas) no prognóstico de endometrioses.
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Introduction

Endometriosis is a common gynecological disease characterized by the presence of endometrial glands and stroma outside the uterus that primarily affects the women during their reproductive years. It could affect the superficial peritoneum or infiltrate deeply into the pelvic organs. The degree of endometriosis can be staged laparoscopically according to the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM).

The diagnosis of endometriosis is usually done by laparoscopy as it is the gold standard in diagnosis and is confirmed by histological examination of the excised tissues especially in atypical lesions.

It is difficult to estimate the actual prevalence of endometriosis in infertile patients and it was hypothesized to be as high as 50% affecting the peritoneal and tubal factors. Fallopian tube abnormalities are one of the most common causes of female infertility. The tubal abnormalities could be diagnosed by hysterosalpingography which include patency, irregularity, peritubal disease and obstruction.

The ability of HSG to diagnose the underlying pathology is limited for absence of pathognomonic signs for different pathologies. Prediction of endometriosis using HSG has been tried before but with no clear signs developed to diagnose the disease until now. Lack of association between endometriosis and HSG, pushed us to investigate and look for novel signs in that old procedure to revive its importance and provide a new insight in an a traditional investigation.

Patients and methods

Eighty six women planned for laparoscopy were recruited from Shatby Maternity University Hospital Infertility Clinic. Written informed consent was taken from every patient. Inclusion criteria were women with history of primary or secondary infertility and in reproductive age between 19 and 42 years. Exclusion criteria were previous laparotomy or laparoscopy, pelvic inflammatory disease, ovarian cysts including endometriotic cysts, pelvic adhesions as diagnosed by ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging, moderate and severe endometriosis or any contraindication for laparoscopy. HSG was done within 3 months duration before surgery using water soluble contrast media. The HSG was revised once by the same observer and documented the following signs:

a. One tube higher than the other (specify right or left tube) by drawing a transverse line over the fundus and documented the actual position of both tube.

b. Coiling of one or both tubes so that a C or S shapes could be identified, and specify which tube.

c. Any additional observations were documented include tubal obstruction, out-pouching, hydrosalpinx and peritubal adhesions.

The normal positions of the tubes depend on the relaxing nature of the tube. The tubes during the HSG are palpated backward as the patient in the supine position. Any change of the mesosalpinx could change the position of the tubes. The higher level of the left tube is explained by the prevalence of the endometriosis more on the left side of the pelvis and around the sigmoid due to peritoneal scarring. Endometriosis can cause macroscopic and microscopic scarring and shortening of the mesosalpinx especially in the distal part of the tube. This shortening could lead to limited mobility of the tubes thus when filmed during HSG, while the patient is lying supine, it appears at higher level than the fundus. On the same time, this shortening makes the mesosalpinx so tight that the tube becomes coiled to fit into its narrow peritoneal covering and this can give the C or S shaped coiling. These signs are considered as a fingerprint of endometriosis and its long term effect on the peritoneal surfaces. This explanation does not depend on the stage of endometriosis as it was found to be associated also with stage 1 and 2 as in stage 3 and 4, the adhesions are very dense and could be diagnosed by ultrasound or other imaging techniques. Moreover, these findings could appear away from the actual endometriotic lesion or adhesions and this particularly is considered as an advantage for possible prediction of subtle and early stages of endometriosis.
Interference of the position of the uterus in retro- or antever- 
vion and the angle of the X rays was solved by maintaining 
traction on the vulsellum during injecting the dye and during 
taking the films.

The examination of the HSG was done in different day of 
the surgery. Laparoscopy was done for all the patients after 
obtaining patient’s consent in the immediate post menstrial 
period. Endometriosis was staged at laparoscopy using the 
revised-American Fertility Society.1,9 Typical, atypical lesions 
and sigmoid congenital adhesions were documented in the 
cases with endometriosis that was proven on histopathology 
to be endometriosis. The person who assessed the HSG and 
the laparoscopy were different physicians who assessed the 
HSG a day before the surgery with writing their comments 
and others performed the surgery on other day comparing the 
data at end of surgery regarding HSG data and operative data 
for each patient. The staging of endometriosis according to 
rAFS in all cases was minimal to mild as moderate and severe 
were excluded. If severe cases were included, the sensitivity 
of HSG may be overestimated.

Statistics

Sample size calculation: a minimum sample size of 65 
achieves 80% power to detect a difference (P0 – P1) of –0.1659 
between the null hypothesis that the population proportion is 
0.2000 and the alternative hypothesis that the population pro-
portion is 0.3659 using a significance level of 0.05. The sample 
size calculations of 65 patients as a minimum number tak-
ing into considerations that the prevalence of endometriosis 
is ranged 20–36% with 80% power and 5% level of significance. 
The sample size calculated is by using the NCSS and PASS 
program.

Sensitivity is the probability that a test will indicate ‘dis-
sease’ among those with the disease. Specificity is the fraction 
of those without disease who will have a negative test result. 
Positive predictive value is the probability that subjects with 
a positive screening test truly have the disease. Negative pre-
dictive value is the probability that subjects with a negative 
screening test truly don’t have the disease.

Results

The study group had a matched age with the mean age of 28.8 
years. Nulliparous patients were 53.5% of cases and the mul-
tiparous patients were 46.5% of cases. Mean year of infertility 
was 4.9 years.

Positive cases of endometriosis were 36 cases (41.9%) and 
negative cases were 50 cases (58.1%). Cases with higher level of 
the left tube were 40 cases (46.5%) (Fig. 1). This sign had sig-
ificant Pearson correlation (p was 0.004). Cases with higher level 
of the right tube (Fig. 2) were 5 cases (5.8%). Cases with higher 
levels of both tubes (Fig. 3), were 5 cases (5.8%). Cases with C 
or S shaped tube were 6 cases (7%). Cases with bilateral C or 
S shaped tubes (Fig. 4) were 44 cases (51.2%) and this sign had 
significant Pearson correlation coefficient (p value was 0.005) 
(Tables 1 and 2).
Fig. 4 – HSG film shows bilateral C or S shape of both Fallopian tubes.

Table 1 – The five signs with the number of positive cases out of total (n/N) with % between brackets for the HSG (test under study) and laparoscopy (reference test) followed by Pearson Chi-square and P value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>HSG and laparoscopy</th>
<th>Pearson correlation R value</th>
<th>p value (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt tube higher</td>
<td>40 (46.5%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rt tube higher</td>
<td>5 (5.8%)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both tubes higher</td>
<td>5 (5.8%)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilat S-C shape</td>
<td>6 (7%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilat S-C shape</td>
<td>44 (51.2%)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Confirmation of the laparoscopic findings was done including position, shape, patency, presence of S or C shape of the tubes and presence of endometriotic lesions (Figs. 5 and 6). Typical, atypical lesions and sigmoid congenital adhesions were documented in the cases with endometriosis that was proven on histopathology.

Discussion

Both hysterosalpingography and laparoscopy are the complementary methods that can give valuable information about the condition of the Fallopian tubes. HSG findings regarding the tubal patency and those findings found in laparoscopy are concordant by 65%.11,12

Hysterosalpingography is a valuable screening test for tubal patency and a part of the basic infertility workup. Data demonstrates high specificity of hysterosalpingography for diagnosis of proximal tubal occlusion or hydrosalpinx and low sensitivity in cases with peritubal adhesions.12

Careful examination of the contrast material in the HSG could lead to diagnosis of the abnormality.13 No previous report about the position or the S–C shape of the tubes in HSG was found. The abnormal adhesions in the tubes were correlated with the presence of endometriosis as diagnosed by laparoscopy and revealed a sensitivity of 55.5%, a specificity of 75%, a positive predictive value of 77%, and a negative predictive value of 53%. In the presence of clinical pathologic uterosacral ligaments and/or sterility, the specificity of HSG may be 100%, but the sensitivity falls below the 40%.14

Table 2 – ‘Higher left tube’ and ‘Bilateral S or C tubes’ with sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, LR (+) and LR (–).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HSG sign</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>Pearson chi-square value</th>
<th>p value (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher left tube</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>39.02</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral S or C tubes</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

* p value is significant.

Our study showed that all signs showed high specificity in prediction of endometriosis although only 2 signs (higher level of left tube and bilateral tubal S or C shape) showed also high sensitivity in prediction of endometriosis. These results are in concordant with description of association between certain HSG anomalies and diagnosis of endometriosis. Previous reports compared between laparoscopy and HSG for the patency or occlusion of the tubes. These studies stated that patency has less false positive than tubal occlusion with no referral to the shape or position of the tubes.

The normal positions of the tubes depend on the relaxing nature of the tube. The tubes during the HSG are prolapsed backward as the patient in the supine position. Any change of the mesosalpinx could change the position of the tubes. The higher level of the left tube is explained by the prevalence of the endometriosis more on the left side of the pelvis and around the sigmoid due to peritoneal scarring. Endometriosis can cause macroscopic and microscopic scarring and shortening of the mesosalpinx especially in the distal part of the tube. This shortening could lead to limited mobility of the tubes thus when filmed during HSG, while the patient is lying supine, it appears at higher level than the fundus. On the same time, this shortening makes the mesosalpinx so tight that the tube becomes coiled to fit into its narrow peritoneal covering and this can give the C or S shaped coiling. These signs are considered as a fingerprint of endometriosis and its long term effect on the peritoneal surfaces. This explanation does not depend on the stage of endometriosis as it was found to be associated also with stage 1 and 2 as in stage 3 and 4, the adhesions are very dense and could be diagnosed by ultrasound or other imaging techniques. Moreover, these findings could appear away from the actual endometriotic lesion or adhesions and this particularly is considered as an advantage for possible prediction of subtle and early stages of endometriosis.

In cases of unexplained infertility, investigations should be done earlier even before 1 year if signs of endometriosis are found. Observation is more important if the females are older than 35 years especially that HSG is easy and tolerable.

Use of HSG still represents an integral part of the primary workup of infertile females although its importance of the HSG is underestimated in modern gynecological practice. Abnormal results were shown in more than 80 percent of infertile women. Even in patients with normal HSG, endometriosis could be found in half of patients of unexplained infertility. The concordance between HSG results and laparoscopy findings is higher in the distal than proximal tubal adhesions or obstruction but no typical HSG findings can be associated with endometriosis.

The prediction of endometriosis can save a long time, anxiety and unneeded investigations. This prediction can be used in proper counseling and to help in giving more appropriate fertility advice.

In conclusion, careful examination of the HSG film and searching for the specific signs of endometriosis is a practical and simple method in prediction of endometriosis. These preliminary data suggest that HSG has a role in prediction of endometriosis.
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